

From: [MARCIA CRAVENS](#)
To: [Keith J. Dallas](#)
Cc: [McCaughtryMary](#); [ResnickLisa](#)
Subject: http://pelicanbayservicesdivision.net/documents/2012/20120117-Budget/20120117_budget_3.actuals_vs_estimated.pdf
Date: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 1:47:32 PM

I'm interested in today's Budget meeting agenda items but am likely not going to make it to the meeting due to prior commitments.

Please provide an explanation of what is actually included in the 2011 Water Management portion of the budget...

Correct me if I'm wrong, but apparently the South Berm improvements are not identified to be paid out of this fund, but are apparently identified to be paid out of the Clam Bay Fund. There is no rationale for this project to not be identified in the budget as a Water Management project as the berm is a mainstay / lynchpin of the Pelican Bay -Surface Water Management for PB drainage subbasins (operational permit and maintenance). Also, the berm is only remotely associated with environmental restoration of Clam Bay.

I am concerned that a water management project is possibly being mistakenly budgeted as an environmental restoration project. The Clam Bay Conservation Area and NRPA were not included in the Pelican Bay surface water permit as being a part of the water management system. If you want proof of this, I can provide the original report with its recommendations and the Initial Surface Water Management Permit that was issued to the PBID. This is consistent across other records for Pelican Bay.

Rather, the overwhelming requirement for Pelican Bay to have an effective "uplands" surface water management system -- was to prevent the Pelican Bay Planned Unit Development (developed uplands which has the berm as its western boundary) from causing harmful impacts to the Clam Pass/Clam Bay coastal estuarine waterbodies.

The conditional approvals for PB that had required inclusion of a surface water management system for runoff expected from construction of impervious surfaces and reduction of pervious surfaces prompted the drainage basin planning for the PB PUD. The earthen dam we call the berm is a major component of the water management for the developed uplands of Pelican Bay. The berm has not been an environmental restoration project in any ERP for Clam Bay and rightly so, because it is a feature of PB water management.

http://pelicanbayservicesdivision.net/documents/2012/20120117-Budget/20120117_budget_3.actuals_vs_estimated.pdf

Please clarify exactly what part of the PB MSTBU assessments and PBSB budget (2011 or 2012 FY budget) are being referenced for the South Berm improvements...Which funds numbers are being used to pay for this project ? Is the Clam Bay Fund 320 being used to pay for all or any part of the South Berm improvement project ?

Thank you for your assistance in clarifying this issue.

Marcia Cravens