

Naples, Florida

March 15, 1989

LET IT BE KNOWN, that the Pelican Bay Improvement District met on this date in Regular Session at 4:00 P.M., in the Naples Federal Savings & Loan Building, Fifth Floor, 5801 Pelican Bay Boulevard, Naples, Florida, with the following members present:

PRESIDENT:	James D. Hake
SECRETARY:	Ramer B. Holtan
	J. Russell Mudge
	James R. Dunwiddie
	Walter B. Potter

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Gary L. Moyer, District Manager; Mr. James P. Ward, Assistant District Manager; Mr. Joe McMackin, Attorney for the District; Messrs. Tom Peek and Steve Means of Wilson, Miller, Barton, Soll & Peek; Mr. Jim Stewart of Cummings & Lockwood; Messrs. Lou Hoegsted and Edward Griffith of Westinghouse Communities of Naples, Inc.; Messrs. Rudy Small and Henry Michel, Members of the Conservation Advisory Committee; Messrs. Frederick Hardt and Bernie Young, Members of the Pelican Bay Property Owner's Association; Mr. John Petty of the Pelican Bay Improvement District; and Ms. Jean C. Smith, Assistant Secretary.

AGENDA

1. Roll Call.
2. Consideration of a Supplemental Resolution for the 1989 Refunded Bond Issue.
3. Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held February 3, 1989, February 15, 1989 and February 24, 1989.

AGENDA (CONT.)

4. Consideration of Resolution Amending Certain Provisions of the Conservation Advisory Committee Membership and Rules.
5. Consideration of Resolution Establishing Rules for the Purpose of Calling an Emergency Meeting of the Board of Supervisors.
6. Presentation of Treated Effluent Disposal Study Program by Wilson, Miller, Barton, Soll & Peek, Inc.
7. Consideration of a Grant of Easement from Southern Gulf Properties, to Accommodate the Installation of the Potable and Irrigation Meter Vaults for Contessa.
8. Attorney's Report.
9. Engineer's Report.
10. Manager's Report.
11. Certificates of Payment.
12. Confirmation of Invoices and Operating Summaries.
13. Supervisor's Requests.
14. Adjournment.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Hake called the meeting to order at 4:00 P.M. and asked the record show all members present.

CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION FOR THE 1989 REFUNDED BONDS

Mr. Hake advised that the Board's approval of this Resolution would complete the refunding and at this time he asked Mr. Jim Stewart of Cummings & Lockwood to explain the Resolution. Mr. Stewart advised that this Resolution would amend the Supplemental Resolution previously approved by the Board at the February 24, 1989 meeting and the Resolution included some requirements which M.B.I.A., the Bond Insurance Carrier, required be inserted into the Bond Resolution. He explained that the Resolution had been approved by 60% of the Bondholders of the outstanding 1979 Bonds and now insured that M.B.I.A. would get repaid on any draws that come through the Reserve Account. Mr. Hake asked if the Resolution had been reviewed by Mr. McMackin and District staff. Mr. Stewart replied in the affirmative.

There being no further discussion, Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by

Mr. Dunwiddie and unanimously approved the "Supplemental Resolution Of The Board Of Supervisors Of The Pelican Bay Improvement District In Collier County, Florida, Amending And Supplementing That Certain "General Water And Sewer General Obligation And Revenue Bond Resolution Authorizing The Issuance And Sale Of Not In Excess Of \$4,530,000 Water And Sewer General Obligation And Revenue Bonds, Series 1978" Adopted By The Aforesaid Board Of Supervisors On June 22, 1978 Permitting The District To Provide A "Credit Facility" In The Amount Of The Series Reserve Requirement In Lieu Of Cash, Investment Securities, Or Authorized Certificates Of Deposit In The Reserve Account, For Designated Series Of Bonds Issued On Or After The Effective Date Of The Supplemental Resolution; Such Credit Facility Must, At The Time Of Acquisition, Be Of Sufficient Creditworthiness As To Cause A New Issue Of Bonds Secured By Such Facility To Be Rated In The Top Category By Either Moody's Or Standard & Poors'; Creating An Arbitrage Rebate Account For Use In Paying To The U. S. Treasury The Arbitrage Profits Rebate As Required By The Internal Revenue Code Of 1986, As Amended; Providing For The Restoration, Payment And Repayment Of Any Credit Facility In The Event Of A Claim Or Funds Being Drawn Thereon; Providing For The Creation Of A Lien In Favor Of Any Credit Facility Issuer, Inferior, Subordinate And Junior Only To The Lien Of Outstanding Bondholders To Secure The Payment Of Obligations Of The District With Respect To Such Credit Facility; Providing For The Credit Facility Issuer's Rights Upon Default Of The Resolution; Providing For The Rights Of Credit Facility Issuers To Consent To Further Amendment Or Modification Of The Resolution And To Prohibit Refunding Or Redemption Of Bonds Unless All Amounts Owed To

Any Credit Facility Issuer Shall Have Been Paid, Permitting The District To Pay The Initial Principal Installment On Refunding Bonds Either One Year From The Date Of Issuance Of The Refunding Bonds Or The Year When The Next Succeeding Principal Installment Is Due On The Refunded Bonds; Permitting The District To Apply A Portion Of The Funds Presently On Deposit In The Reserve Account To The Defeasance Of The District's Water And Sewer General Obligation And Revenue Bonds, Series, 1985; Permitting The District To Invest Funds In Any Obligations In Which The District Is Authorized Under Florida Law To Invest; Increasing The Renewal And Replacement Requirement To \$150,000.00; Permitting The District To Use Its Discretion In Making Certain Deposits From The Operating Fund Into The District's System Expansion Fund; Providing For Payment To The District Of Moneys Unclaimed By Bondholders That Have Been Held By Trustee In Excess Of Five (5) Years; Providing For A Substitute Or Co-trustee In The Event That Applicable Law Requires The Trustee To Be A Florida Domiciliary; And Providing An Effective Date".

MINUTES OF MEETING OF FEBRUARY 3, 1989 - APPROVED AS AMENDED

Mr. Potter moved, seconded by Mr. Mudge and approved unanimously, the Minutes of February 3, 1989, subject to the following corrections:

Page 3060 - The third line from the top of the page should read as follows: "cost of 7.22 % for a bank qualified issue versus 7.32% for a non-bank..."

MINUTES OF MEETING OF FEBRUARY 15, 1989 - APPROVED AS AMENDED

Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by Mr. Dunwiddie and approved unanimously, the Minutes of February 15, 1989, subject to the following corrections:

Page 3101 - In the eighth line from the top of the page the word, "was" be amended to "were".

Page 3101 - In the eleventh line from the top of the page the sentence, "Mr. McMackin so confirmed." be inserted after the sentence which reads: "Mr. Dunwiddie wanted to be assured that there were no restrictions on the Board for determining an equitable connection fee and that WCN recognized that one would be charged and have some voice in what it would be, before he gave his approval of the Golf Course".

Page 3111 - In the tenth line from the top of the page, the words "is oversized" be replaced with "was not properly designed."

MINUTES OF MEETING OF FEBRUARY 24, 1989 - APPROVED AS AMENDED

Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by Mr. Holtan and approved unanimously, the Minutes of February 24, 1989, subject to the following corrections:

Page 3126 - In the ninth second line of the second paragraph, the word "of" be inserted after "some".

Page 3129 - In the third line from the bottom of the page the words, "satellite dishes" be amended to "distribution lines".

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION AMENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AND RULES

Mr. Ward recalled for the Board that at the February 15, 1989 meeting, the Board had discussed in some detail establishing a Charter for the Conservation Advisory Committee and subsequent to this meeting the Advisory Committee had met and reviewed the proposed Charter. He explained that the Resolution and the Charter had both been included in the Agenda Package and were consistent with the Board's previous discussions and the Advisory Committee's discussions during the month. Mr. Ward recommended approval of the Resolution.

Mr. Small advised that one of the members of the Committee desired that an additional item be incorporated in the Charter which had to do with another area of concern as outlined under Article III, Items

i thru iv. It was the opinion of the Committee that the Board might also desire that the Committee monitor and report to the Board on the condition and general appearance of the items contained in Item i and ii so the Board was assured that these areas were effectively being maintained on a regular basis. In other words, the Committee would accept the responsibility to look at the Conservation Areas and the other areas of concern and report any areas which they felt needed attention so the Board could take any action they felt was necessary. Mr. Hake felt that the way the document was written already gave the Conservation Advisory Committee this authority. Mr. McMackin concurred that this was covered Under Item iv of the Charter. Mr. Hake also commented that matters of this nature have been reported to the Board by interested citizens and these matter have then been brought to the staff's attention and staff has cleaned up these areas immediately. He advised that anything the Committee sees should to brought to the attention of staff and if it is a major thing then maybe it should be brought to the Board's attention. Mr. Hake suggested that he thought the Charter was all inclusive and broad enough so the Committee could look after all the matters outlined as best they could.

Mr. Dunwiddie asked if it would be appropriate to set the term of the Committee concurrent with the Supervisor elections in November so that a new Committee could be nominated with the seating of each new Board. Mr. McMackin suggested that a "Sunset Provision" could be inserted in the Resolution, which would state that the Committee would automatically expire at some date so that the Board would have the opportunity to review the Committee rather than have the Committee continue in perpetuity. Mr. Mudge

suggested that the way the Resolution currently reads already gives the Board this option. Mr. Hake suggested that a provision could be added to the Resolution which read that, "the purpose and the intent of the Committee will be reviewed on a yearly basis at the August Meeting". Mr. Dunwiddie suggested that the review occur at the November meeting, which would then coincide with the elections and the new Board of Supervisors. The Board concurred with these suggestions.

At this time, Mr. Potter moved, seconded by Mr. Mudge and approved unanimously, "The Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the Pelican Bay Improvement District Amending The Resolution Dated August 17, 1988, Establishing The Conservation Advisory Committee, as amended.

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING RULES FOR THE PURPOSE OF CALLING AN EMERGENCY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

This item was deferred to the April Meeting.

PRESENTATION OF TREATED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL STUDY PROGRAM BY WILSON, MILLER, BARTON, SOLL & PEEK

Mr. Ward advised that a copy of the "Pelican Bay Improvement District Treated Effluent Disposal Study, prepared by WMBS&P, and dated February 1989, had been included in the Agenda Package and at this time asked Mr. Means to review the Report of WMBS&P.

Mr. Means commented that at a previous meeting of the Board of Supervisors, they had authorized WMBS&P to look into various effluent disposal options for the Utility Site. Mr. Means spoke on how effluent is generated on the Utility Site. He explained that raw sewage is taken into the Utility Site through the Master Pumping Station at the north end of the site and pumped to the oxidation ditch where the raw sewage is then

treated. The effluent is decanted off the oxidation ditch and taken into the effluent filter and then into the chlorine contact chamber where disinfection occurs. He explained that at this point there is a pH meter, turbidity meter and a chlorine residual analyzer which determine the actual quality of the effluent. He stated that it is at this point the quality is determined and if the quality meets the FDER residential effluent quality criteria it is pumped to the 2.5 million gallon storage tank for residential spray irrigation; however, if it doesn't meet the residential quality criteria it is then pumped to the 1.7 million gallon Lagoon for golf course spray irrigation.

Mr. Means advised that spray irrigation over the residential areas and over the golf course are the only disposal methods currently permitted under the FDER Permit for Pelican Bay but explained there can be times, primarily during the rainy season, when the demand for irrigation water is less than the supply of effluent causing an excess and if this occurs over several consecutive days, the storage facilities become full and there is a need to dispose of the excess effluent. Another problem WMBS&P found was that if the effluent does not meet the quality criteria for either irrigation on the golf course or irrigation on the residential areas then the effluent needs to be stored somewhere on the site and reprocessed to meet the effluent quality criteria.

Mr. Hake asked when has it ever happened that the District has had more effluent than it could dispose of. Mr. Means remarked that he could not give actual dates but there were times when the Lagoon was the only storage device for the effluent before the 2.5 million gallon tank was

on line and that there had been times during the rainy season when the Lagoon had overflowed. Mr. Hake asked whether the District has had more effluent than it could dispose of since the 2.5 million gallon tank has been on line. Mr. Means replied that he was not aware of any time the Lagoon has overflowed since the tank has come on line.

Mr. Hake commented that the Lagoon is only taking what the tank is rejecting and asked if the machinery had a sensor to distinguish the quality of the effluent. Mr. Means explained that the Operator is the one who determines when the effluent does not meet the residential criteria and it is the Operator who actually sends the water to the Lagoon.

Mr. Means explained that FDER has recently adopted new regulations which mandate that new utilities which use effluent for spray irrigation, utilize and determine what kind of wet-weather storage is needed and also have a storage area for reject water. Mr. Moyer also explained that under the new regulations either twenty-four staffing to monitor the water quality levels or an automatic diversion valve will be required. In addition, Mr. Means explained that under the new regulations there will be no difference between the quality of the effluent for Golf Courses or residential areas. Mr. Dunwiddie asked when these new regulations would take effect. Mr. Means responded April 1, 1989 to the best of his knowledge and explained that if and when the District applies to FDER to upgrade its facilities, the District will fall under the new rules.

Mr. Hake asked Mr. Means if he could give him some idea of how much reject water the District has had. Mr. Means responded that this was

difficult to answer because the District has not had continuous monitoring for effluent quality standards until approximately two months ago, at which time the new instruments were put on line. Mr. Petty remarked there has only been one time since the new plant has been on line where the District's effluent did not meet criteria standards and this was during the second month of start-up. He explained that at that time the District exceeded standards for approximately eight to ten days. He advised that during this time FDER had been notified of the problem with the plant start-up and monitored the situation for ten days and verified that the District was addressing the problem as best they could.

Mr. Means explained that under normal conditions there is more demand for irrigation than there is for effluent and the charts in the Report bear this out. However, he advised the Board that they need to be cognizant that there will be a time during a wet season that the District will have to look at some wet-weather storage and this is also mandated under the new FDER regulations.

Mr. Means advised that the Report identified several alternatives for disposal of treated effluent. The first alternative discussed was "No Action". WMBS&P did not feel this was a desirable alternative as the District would be open to fines and other problems with FDER. A second alternative was "deep well injection", which would involve pumping the reject water into the ground to an aquifer which is of no benefit to the public. He advised that this alternative was too costly for serious consideration. The third alternative was "Wetlands Disposal" as FDER does permit effluent disposal into wetlands, however, WMBS&P ruled this

alternative out because they could not identify suitable wetlands in Pelican Bay that could be used. A fourth alternative was "Percolation Ponds", which is nothing more than a Lagoon without a liner. He advised that WMBS&P had determined it would require approximately 30 acres of land to perc one (1) day's worth of flows and thus this solution was impractical. A fifth alternative looked at would be to "Expand Irrigation Areas", in that the District's permit allows two inches of application per week of effluent over Pelican Bay and this is an average value and can go higher during times of wet weather. He advised that WMBS&P recommended pursuing this alternative, however, felt there were better alternatives to handle the entire problem. Regarding the "Equipment Modification" Alternative", Mr. Means advised that the District has already performed some equipment modifications to the pump station by reducing the size of the pumps to reduce the loading on the plant and the clarifier to help reduce the possibility of solids going out into the filter and exceeding the FDER effluent criteria. He advised that WMBS&P felt the equipment modifications outlined in the Report would be best addressed during the next expansion phase. Mr. Means commented that the alternative which really seemed superior was the "Utility Site Modifications" and this was borne out by the change in the FDER regulations discussed earlier. He advised that the Utility Site modifications would include constructing a suction line from the Golf Course Pump Station down to one of the tanks so that the Golf Course irrigation is taken off the tanks along with the residential irrigation effluent rather than taking water off the Lagoon. He explained that the Lagoon would then be converted to either a

percolation pond or a lined holding pond. By constructing these modifications the effluent would first be taken off the tanks for Golf Course and residential irrigation needs leaving the pond dry and using it for either reject water or wet-weather flows. This, he explained, would meet the new FDER regulations and create excess storage in the District's system. Mr. Means advised that the cost for these modifications would be approximately \$1,100,000, however, approximately \$950,000 of the total \$1,100,000 would be required during the next utility site expansion phase which includes the 2.5 MG tank and a portion of the proposed suction line. He concluded by stating WMBS&P recommended the "Utility Site Modification" alternative, however, he felt it needed to be looked at more closely, in conjunction with the Phase III Utility Expansion.

Mr. Hake asked what the timing would be for the Utility Site Modifications and how this reflects the growth in Pelican Bay. Mr. Means responded that WMBS&P's recommendation was to build these facilities with the Phase III expansion, as the timing would be very close to when the facilities would be permitted, designed and constructed.

Mr. Dunwiddie remarked that the Board had a number of decisions to make in the very near future. He reported on the status of the WWTP and remarked that it was currently working in a satisfactory manner. He stated that, to date, the District's maximum daily flow has been 1.3 mgd, which includes the recycled water from the digester and the water coming back from the filter. He stated that one of the problems with the plant is the "upsets" and commented that there seems to be a cycle effect about once a month when the level of microbes gets much too low. Mr. Dunwiddie then

proceeded to summarize how the oxidation ditch currently works and why it does not do what PBS&J said it would do. He advised that staff does not know the cause for the upsets; that it could be someone is dumping something into the system as this happens in other utility systems especially when commercial enterprises are connected to the system. He remarked that District staff is currently looking into this matter, however, the District is living with the problem and feel they will have no difficulty getting through this season. In Mr. Dunwiddie's opinion, the problem will be with the 1989-1990 season when the District might go from a peak day of 1.3 mgd to 1.5 mgd or 1.6 mgd and if at the time this peak day hits, the District has a well settling sludge and everything is working fine then he felt the District would have no problem getting through this season, however, if a couple of things go wrong at the same time, he is not so sure.

Mr. Dunwiddie advised the next question was whether the District had a possible suit against United Industries and Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan, Inc. and he explained the reasons why he felt the Boat Clarifier was not working. He stated that although the District has very good reason to doubt whether the plant will ever operate the way it is supposed to, and he feels the District has a pretty good case for a suit, he personally does not like suits. He suggested that the Board give serious consideration to trying to negotiate with United Industries and Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan. He felt if he would ask United Industries and PBS&J to seriously sit down with Mr. Orach of WMBS&P and himself they could try and resolve the problems. At this time, Mr. Dunwiddie asked the Board's permission to

try and set up such a meeting. Mr. Hake commented that the Board had previously given Mr. Dunwiddie this authority and no further action was needed by the Board.

Mr. Dunwiddie advised that a decision the Board had to make very shortly was whether or not the District should continue with the Boat Clarifier and this is part of what the Engineers are working on in the Phase III Expansion Report and it was his opinion that there might be a partial short term out from this that could delay some of the major expenditures and get the District through next season. For instance, the District might be able to get considerably more capacity out of the present oxidation ditch by installing another set of impellers and instead of using the Boat Clarifier, go to a conventional type of clarifier. He commented that if this could be done it could save a couple years before the District would have to go into a major expansion. He explained that WMBS&P should finish their operating requirement study in another two weeks and their conceptual design study in about a month, however, the detailed design for bids would not be finished before September at the earliest.

In regard to WMBS&P's Report, Mr. Moyer explained that even though the new FDER regulations go into effect April 1, 1989, there is no mandate for the District to be in compliance with the new regulations until such time as we expand our facilities. Mr. Dunwiddie asked if the District performed even the minimum of putting in an outside clarifier and an extra filter, whether this would be considered an expansion under the new regulations. Mr. Means responded that knowing the local FDER personnel, he would guess they would consider this an expansion under the new regulations,

however, he did not know for sure.

Mr. Hake asked why no costs were included under Section 9.10 of the Report. Mr. Means replied that this Report came out so close to the Phase III Expansion Report that he intended that the Board should look at these costs in detail in the next report and that this report should be considered as informational.

Mr. Peek commented that WMBS&P was hopeful that they would have had the Hole, Montes & Associates Study to review and consider as they prepared the Phase III Expansion Report, and thus have been "dragging their feet" just a bit, hoping that HM&A would produce their Report, however, advised that WMBS&P will now be forced to move ahead to finish the Report so they can meet the Board's timetable. Mr. Hake asked whether anyone knew when Hole, Montes & Associates Report was supposed to be completed. Mr. Ward responded that he had recently heard sixty days from now.

CONSIDERATION OF A GRANT OF EASEMENT FROM SOUTHERN GULF PROPERTIES, TO ACCOMMODATE THE INSTALLATION OF THE POTABLE AND IRRIGATION METER VAULTS FOR CONTESSA

Mr. Ward explained that Contessa is a Group IV project, consisting of 76 units, located in Bay Colony and since the right-of-way for Bay Colony Drive is not sufficient to adequately install the water meters for this project, District staff had requested the Developer, Southern Gulf Properties, Inc., grant an additional easement to the District so the District would be able to install the meters within this project.

Mr. Rudy Small asked whether there would be any encroachment on a PBID easement. Mr. Ward responded that there is no encroachment, that the

only purpose of this request is to provide the District with an easement to install its meters. Mr. Ward explained that the roads are narrow in this area and the District needs additional space to install the meters. Mr. Small asked whether the Developer has previously requested an easement modification on the beach side of the property. Mr. Ward replied that they had not made such a request and if one looked at the drawing included in the Agenda Package one would see that they would not be encroaching on the District's Water Management Easement.

Mr. Mudge asked whether the plans for Contessa had previously been approved by the District. Mr. Ward replied that District staff and the District's Engineers are currently in the middle of the review process. Mr. Mudge remarked that the Board had previously indicated that on major projects such as this they would like to be made part of the review process, as this would help keep the District out of potential easement problems. Mr. Peek remarked that his recollection of conversations by the Board at the past meetings was that the Board had not asked that plans be brought to the Board. Mr. Mudge commented that he had previously asked that plans for major projects be brought to the Board and he was sure this request was in the Minutes. Mr. Ward agreed with Mr. Peek in that the Board had previously requested that any areas that encroach upon the District's easements be brought to the Board's attention. Mr. Mudge commented he had previously asked that the Board members receive a copy of the Plan Review & Procedural Manual and he was going to recommend that in the final phase of the review, staff perform the reviews and then a brief review with the Board.

In response to a question by Mr. Hake, Mr. Ward explained this easement would be an easement in addition to the current easements of the District along the road, where the District has the water and sewer lines installed.

Mr. Hake suggested it would be a good idea for the Conservation Advisory Committee to receive a marked up map showing the upper limits of Pelican Bay all the way to Seagate Drive, because he was sure some of the conservation areas south of the District's pods, were now owned and controlled by the State and it would be helpful for the Committee to have a map which should these areas. Mr. Small indicated they he had previously asked Mr. Ward for a map showing all the easement areas in Pelican Bay. Mr. Ward commented that he had previously advised the Committee that he had preferred that they wait until the Golf Course revisions were completed to have such a map prepared as then it would not have to be done twice.

Mr. Griffith remarked that at the last Committee meeting, WCN had provided a map that showed Pelican Bay in its entirety and this map depicted the conservation areas, the Hammock areas, the berm easements, with their delineations; however, it did not show in depth details of particular sites. Mr. Hake suggested that he was sure that maps of particular site were available and all WCN had to do was pull them from the file. Mr. Griffith remarked that such maps were available. Mr. Hake suggested that WCN and District staff work together to accomplish producing a larger scale map.

At this time, Mr. Potter moved, seconded by Mr. Dunwiddie and unanimously approved that the District accept the Grant of Easement from

Southern Gulf Properties, Inc. to accommodate the installation of the potable and irrigation meter vaults for Contessa.

ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Mr. McMackin remarked that, as the Board was aware, the District had recently completed the bond closing and Mr. Holtan was obligated to sign 283 bonds and has a number more to execute. Mr. McMackin stated that he had spoken with Mr. Art Diamond who had suggested that some provisions of the District's Statutes, such as this one requiring one of the Officers to manually execute the bonds was a little archaic and Mr. Diamond suggested that if the District went to the Legislature with any amendments to the Enabling Act, some of the more archaic provisions should be reviewed and Mr. McMackin felt this was a very good suggestion, as it is a real burden upon an Officer to sign such a large number of bonds.

Mr. McMackin also wished to commend District staff and especially Mr. Ward. He stated this was a very complicated refunding issue because there were three dimensions involved. First, the District had to get the consent of the people who presently hold the bonds; secondly the District had to get M.B.I.A. to insure the new bonds and lastly the District had to deal with the Trustee, who would be holding the funds, to ultimately defease the old bonds. He commented that Mr. Ward had an excellent grasp of the situation, as well as the ability to understand the problems. He also was able to deal effectively with the other professionals involved in the refunding and this was a real plus and Mr. McMackin was very impressed. Mr. Hake commented that he was also involved in the closing and he felt everyone had a good grasp of what was going on and Mr. Ward was one of

those people. He felt everyone acted in a very professional manner and he was proud and happy to be a part of the refunding.

Mr. McMackin advised that in his recent letter to Mr. Dunwiddie regarding the Statute of Limitations, he had taken the worst case scenario should the Board decide to seek litigation against Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan, Inc. and United Industries. He advised that computing the Statute of Limitations is a factual situation; i.e., when should the District have known of the existence of the problem with the Clarifier. He remarked he had used the most conservative date of the date the plant went into operation, December 3, 1987, and, thus, computed two years from that day, which would have been the earliest day that the District could have had any suspicion that there could have been any defects. He offered that he would require some lead should the Board decide to proceed with litigation and advised that they not wait until the November 1989, meeting to make a decision on whether to proceed with litigation. Mr. Hake suggested that Mr. Dunwiddie use every method at his discretion to try and get the parties together and discuss the problem and this should be done as quickly as possible so that if the District desires to proceed with litigation, it would give Mr. McMackin time to prepare to implement the suit.

With regard to United Industries and the Boat Clarifier, Mr. McMackin advised that he had not received a reply from United Industries' Attorney who had written to the District.

Mr. Potter stated that it was his opinion that the District would not get any compromise on this issue, unless the District filed suit as

after this happens, it has a tendency to get people's attention and then they will start talking to you. Mr. Dunwiddie commented that he understood what Mr. Potter was saying, but the problem is how much the District would have to spend to get to the point where they could file a suit and he would like to have a preliminary meeting with PBS&J and United Industries to get some feeling for what they felt.

ENGINEER'S REPORT

With regard to the conversation at last month's meeting regarding the District's Chlorination System and in light of the Cape Coral accident, Mr. Means remarked that WMBS&P had recently conducted a field inspection of the Chlorination System and had determined that the chlorination facilities have been designed, operated and maintained in general accordance with industry standards. He also reported that the District had recently sponsored a Chlorination Seminar and it was attended by a large number of people from the surrounding areas. He advised that at the Seminar there was a presentation by a Chlorine Manufacturer who showed tapes on the dangers of chlorine, as well as a demonstration by the Fire Department, using actual equipment, on how the Fire Department would go about plugging a leak in a chlorine cylinder. He also advised that a Chlorine equipment manufacturer demonstrated how the Chlorine equipment should be maintained and operated. Mr. Means felt it was a very informative seminar. He advised that one of the outcomes of the Seminar was becoming aware of the need for preparing a Contingency Plan on how chlorine leaks would be stopped and how the Fire Department and District staff would coordinate their efforts in the event of a chlorine leak. He advised that Mr. Petty

is currently working with the Fire Department to prepare such a Contingency Plan. One of WMBS&P's findings was that the District needs to install some type of an auto-dialer which would automatically call someone should an alarm go off. In conclusion, he advised that the District has recently made good progress towards coming up with a unified plan of action in case of a chlorine leak.

Mr. Dunwiddie commented that he had attended this Seminar and felt it was very worthwhile and that it was urgent for the District to put into place the night time auto-dialer and advised that his instructions to staff had been to install the device as soon as they could. Mr. Hake concurred that something should be done immediately.

Mr. Peek asked the Board to be aware that if the site plans must come to the Board for review, this would add up to thirty days to the plan review process, which currently takes ten to fourteen days if the Developer's first submittal is complete. Mr. Mudge suggested that staff could prepare a schedule of upcoming projects and this then could be presented to the Board so they were aware of the projects about to be reviewed and felt that the Board's review would only be a quick, preliminary look at the plans so they didn't run into another St. Nicole issue. Mr. Hake suggested that as soon as staff receives plans for review they call the members of the Board and if the Board members desire to take a look at the plans they could come to the District's office to review them. He further suggested that he did not feel each set of plans had to be reviewed at a meeting. Mr. Mudge stated that there have been many major projects the Board has not seen and he felt the Board should be brought

into the process at some point. Mr. Hake commented that if the Board was going to be involved in easements and things of this nature, staff should let the Board know what was going on so they had the opportunity to take a look at the plans so there would be no surprises.

Mr. Mudge asked when District staff had approved the plans for Waterside Shops. Mr. Ward replied they had been approved in October, 1988. Mr. Mudge commented that the first time he ever saw the complete set of plans was the other day at the Courthouse and at that time had been told they had been approved by PBID. He felt this was a major project and should have been reviewed with the Board. Mr. Dunwiddie remarked he did not want a project such as this reviewed and approved by staff without the Board's knowledge and participation. Mr. Ward asked whether the Board desired that the plans for projects such as this be brought before the Board or whether the Board desired to review the plans individually. Mr. Hake advised the Board wants to be aware of what staff is working on and if the Board elects to come to the office to look at the drawings that is each of their privileges and if they elect not to do so this is also their privilege, but at least staff would have made the Board members aware of what is going on. Mr. Moyer remarked that he felt some type of time frame should be established and suggested that staff would hold the plans five days after notifying the Board members before they approved the plans.

Mr. Dunwiddie asked who reviews the plans for the District. Mr. Ward replied that the plans are reviewed by Mr. Petty and himself before they are sent to WMBS&P for review. Mr. Hake commented that he assumed when the plans are approved by the District, the District accepts no

liability as to the results. Mr. Ward remarked that this was correct.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Mr. Moyer had nothing to report at this time.

CERTIFICATES OF PAYMENT

Mr. Hake remarked that it looked to him like the District was drawing money from the bond funds for maintenance costs. Mr. Ward advised that this has not ever been done; that the money expended from the bond funds is for capital improvements only and he is very careful to insure this is always the case.

At this time, the following Certificates Of Payment were presented for approval by the Board:

<u>CERTIFICATE NO.</u>		<u>CONTRACTOR</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
1985 Bond Proceeds PBID Maintenance Facility Renovations	No. 181	Pelican Bay Improvement District	3,923.28
1985 Bond Proceeds WWTP Instrumentation	No. 182	Pelican Bay Improvement District	8,880.73
		TOTAL AMOUNT	\$12,804.01

Following presentation of the Requisitions, Mr. Hake moved, seconded by Mr. Potter and unanimously approved, payment of the Certificates of Payment, as presented.

CONFIRMATION OF INVOICES

Water/Sewer Operating Summary and Invoices For February 1989 - Approved As Presented

Following discussion, Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by Mr. Hake and carried unanimously, that the Water/Sewer Operating Summary and Invoices for February 1989, be approved as presented.

Water Management Operating Summary and Invoices For February 1989 -
Approved As Presented

Following discussion, Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by Mr. Hake and carried unanimously, that the Water Management Operating Summary and Invoices for February 1989, be approved as presented.

Street Lighting Invoices For February 1989 - Approved As Presented

Following discussion, Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by Mr. Hake and carried unanimously, that the Street Lighting Invoices for February 1989, be approved as presented.

SUPERVISOR'S REQUESTS

Mr. Mudge made the suggestion that the Board meetings start earlier than 4:00 P.M. He commented that most of the current members are semi-retired and as the meetings tend to run longer than in the past, it is unfair to ask the audience to stay so late. He suggested the Board try meeting at 3:00 P.M. for awhile to see if this worked out. The Board concurred with Mr. Mudge's suggestion and a starting time of 3:00 P.M. was set for the April 19th meeting.

Mr. Potter felt there is entirely too much discussion by the Board on routine matters and suggested a good example was the Grant of Easement for the meters at Contessa. He advised that the discussions needed to be controlled a little bit better. Mr. Mudge commented that he felt the Board was still in a learning process and as they gained more experience the meetings should proceed more quickly. Mr. Potter also remarked that everyone in the room now takes part in the discussions and this also adds to the lengthiness of the meetings and suggested if the

Supervisors ask the questions, then everyone's questions might be answered. Mr. Dunwiddie offered he would take exception if the Board tried to do what was done in the past, i.e., to cut off all comments by the Public. He suggested the Public's comments should be held until the Board was finished, but the Public should have the right to speak.

Mr. Mudge advised he had recently attended a meeting at the Commons and at that meeting a number of complaints had been brought up. He stated he met Mr. Roy Diamond of the Sanctuary who complained that all five buildings were having problems with sand in their potable water and that it is appearing in almost all of the 72 units. Mr. Mudge suggested that District staff get in touch with the Manager of the Sanctuary to discuss this problem. He also remarked that complaints had been expressed to him about the general appearance of the right-of-ways, i.e., trash, cups, papers, etc. and asked if PBID was responsibility for picking up litter in the rights-of-way. Mr. Ward commented that Jacaranda does pick up litter on a routine basis, however, it is an on-going problem. Mr. Mudge stated there had also been complaints about the dangerous condition at some of the intersections because of the height of the bushes, particularly as you come out of the Sanctuary. Mr. Ward advised that the heights of the bushes are checked constantly, however, if any residents have any complaints about particular areas, he suggested they get in touch with him so he could have Jacaranda take care of these areas. Mr. Mudge also asked if the District had any responsibility for Clam Pass and for the condition of the beach around the Sandbar. He asked if PBID had a budget for cleaning up the beach areas. Mr. Hake explained that PBID had a budget for cleaning up the

beach areas outside the confines of the foundation facilities, however, the Sandbar Facilities belonged to the Pelican Bay Foundation.

Mr. Mudge asked Mr. Ward if any conclusion had been reached regarding the connection fees for the Golf Course. Mr. Ward replied that he was currently in the process of reviewing these fees and this issue would be discussed with the Board in the near future. Mr. Mudge asked who was putting in the trees and shrubs in the Oakmont medians. Mr. Ward responded that WCN has been planting some additional landscaping in this area. Mr. Mudge asked who was putting in the trees around Bay Colony and Contessa. Mr. Ward responded WCN. Mr. Mudge asked if an irrigation meter was installed in this area and who was paying for the irrigation water. Mr. Ward replied that whoever applied for the meter was paying for the irrigation water. Mr. Mudge asked Mr. Ward whether he had received any response from Mr. Hoegsted regarding the Foundation future planning. Mr. Ward replied he had not yet received any communication from Mr. Hoegsted but did expect to receive plans and specifications and he would make the Board a part of this equation. Mr. Mudge asked whether there was an irrigation charge made to the Foundation. Mr. Ward responded in the affirmative. Mr. Mudge suggested that the Board hold a Workshop Meeting as soon as possible as he felt the the District was not charging the correct rates to the Bay Club Tennis Courts and they were getting used to a very low rate and would be shocked when the District started charging them what the District should be charging them.

Mr. Potter asked whether the Golf Course fell under the Phase II water restrictions and mentioned that there had been quite a lot of

watering going on in the Contessa area. Mr. Ward commented that the Golf Course did fall under the restrictions and he would see Mr. Potter received a copy of the restrictions. As far as the Contessa area, Mr. Ward remarked there were certain restrictions with regard to new plantings. Mr. Hake commented that yesterday the sprinkler system at the entrance to Bridgeway had been running from 11:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. and he asked Mr. Ward to contact the President of the Bridgeway Association to ask them to comply with the restrictions.

Mr. Dunwiddie asked whether the District had the responsibility for edging both sides of the sidewalks. Mr. Ward responded that he was not certain but would check in the matter. Mr. Dunwiddie remarked he was particularly concerned about the sidewalk in front of the Dorchester. Mr. Ward remarked that he would take a look at the area and see what could be done.

Mr. Hake asked the Board when it was convenient for them to hold a Workshop Meeting, as such a meeting had recently been requested by three members of the Board. After discussion, a Workshop Session was scheduled for Thursday, March 30, 1989 at 1:30 P.M.

Mr. Dunwiddie commented that one of the reasons he suggested a Workshop meeting was to bring the new Board members up to speed on some of the history of the activities of the District and asked whether staff should be prepared to make such a presentation. Mr. Mudge suggested he had heard enough history. Mr. Potter concurred that he did not need such a presentation.

Mr. Hake advised that at the Workshop meeting Mr. Dunwiddie would

have the opportunity to discuss the problems associated with the Wastewater Treatment Plant and Mr. Mudge could then discuss the problems he had with the Bay Club billing.

Mr. Hake asked Mr. Hoegsted whether he had a chance to discuss the question of the District obtaining an additional easement from WCN for the purpose of installing cable for cablevision. Mr. Hoegsted responded that he had not had the opportunity to discuss this with Mr. Koste, however, WCN would entertain any written proposal from the Board.

Mr. Hake asked Mr. McMackin to contact Mr. Byron Koste, in order to discuss the street light pole situation. Mr. McMackin replied that he would make an appointment so Mr. Hake and Mr. Koste could sit down and discuss this matter.

Mr. Hake asked Mr. Ward to prepare a complete description of the District's current insurance coverage in time for the Workshop Meeting as he felt this was a major expense of the District and should be put out for competitive pricing.

Mr. Hake asked Mr. McMackin if he had a chance to respond to his question of renting space on the District's utility bills to the Pelican Bay Property Owner's Association for the billing of cable services. Mr. McMackin responded that he had not researched this matter but he was familiar with the District's powers and he did not see this as one of the District's powers. As he had indicated, he advised he needed to see an affirmative authority to do this rather than a negative prohibition, however, he offered he would formally advise Mr. Hake of his opinion on this matter.

Mr. Hake asked when the connection fees are due from the Developers or builders. Mr. Ward responded that they are due prior to the issuance of a Building Permit by the County and explained that the County requires a letter from the District which is precedent upon the payment of the connection fees.

Mr. Frederick Hardt, President of the Pelican Bay Property Owner's Association, asked Mr. Hake whether he had received a letter from Mr. Burt Saunders, Chairman of the Collier County Board of County Commissioners, regarding the issue of substantial deviation and asked whether the Board was going to take any action on this letter at today's meeting. Mr. Hake responded that the Board does not usually take any action on anything they do not receive ten days prior to the meeting, unless it is an emergency. Mr. Hardt commented that the Board of County Commissioners meeting is scheduled for March 28th and the Board has no meeting scheduled before this date and he wanted the Board to be aware that the County will consider this issue on March 28th. Mr. Mudge felt the Board's response was already covered in the letter previously written by Mr. Holtan to the Board of County Commissioners. Mr. Dunwiddie did not feel the Board was in any position to say anything more than what was said in Mr. Holtan's letter. Mr. Hake suggested that the Board might draft some response to the letter and the response might very well be a question as to when Hole, Montes & Associates is going to finish their study so the Board can make some decisions as to the expansion of District facilities.

Pelican Bay Improvement District
March 15, 1989

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, Mr. Mudge moved, seconded by Mr. Holtan and approved unanimously that the meeting be adjourned. Time: 7:00 P.M.



JAMES D. HAKE
PRESIDENT
PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
WATER/SEWER INVOICES
FEBRUARY 1989

<u>PAYEE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
B-B Builders	PBID Maintenance Facility Supplies	\$ 1,342.47
B&H Sales	Meter Supplies	58.94
BancFlorida	Meeting Room Rental - January and February	100.00
Bay Electric, Inc.	Repair Booster Pump	347.95
Blanchard Machinery	Repair Air Compressor	118.35
Bob Dean Supply	Irrigation Supplies	144.64
Budco Temporaries	Temporary Day Labor	899.25
City of Naples	Potable Water 1/3/89 - 2/1/89	21,355.44
Coast Pump & Supply	Irrigation Supplies	18.84
Computerland of Naples	Software Update & Diskettes	77.00
Coral Springs Improvement District	Nov., Dec., & Jan. Office Services & February Dental Insurance	179.88
D&D Machine & Hydraulics	Rent Hydraulic Pump - W.W.T.P.	445.00
Department of Safety & Motor Vehicles	State Tag - 1989 Ford Bronco	19.25
Doyles Carpet Steam Extraction	Clean Carpet & Upholstery	271.00
Dura-Cast Products	Parts for D-14 Lift Station and Chemical Storage Tank	865.84
Envirotech Operating Services	January W/W Analyses	112.00
Federal Express Corp.	January Courier Service	17.25
Ferguson Underground	Irrigation Supplies	54.88
File One	February Office Supplies	56.66
Fisher Scientific	Laboratory Supplies	114.14
Florida Municipal Health Trust	January Health Insurance	542.96
Florida Municipal Health Trust	February Health Insurance	542.96
Florida Power & Light	Portion of January Electric	13,592.01
Florida Power & Light	February Electric	16,380.27
Fred S. James	Directors & Officers Insurance	12,192.39
Gulf Shore Associates	March Rent & Maintenance	842.97
Hach	Laboratory Supplies	95.70
Jackson Electric, Inc.	Repair Sewer Lateral - Utility Site	134.80
Johnson Paints, Inc.	Paint Supplies	160.30
King Copy Products	Service Copy Machine	100.00
Manatron, Inc.	1988 Tax Forms	31.32
Motorola, Inc.	Repair Portable Radios	321.66
North Trail Auto Parts	Battery	78.12
PB&S Chemical Co.	Chlorine	3,174.30

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
 WATER/SEWER INVOICES
 FEBRUARY 1989
 (PAGE 2)

<u>PAYEE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Presstige Printing	Utility Service Request Applications	\$ 120.00
Quarles & Brady	December Legal Services	2,692.10
Quarles & Brady	January Legal Services	7,488.20
Ray's Septic Service	Remove Sludge W.W.T.P. - January	3,375.00
Ray's Septic Service	Remove Sludge W.T.T.P. - February	1,500.00
RMRS System	Postage	100.00
Robbins Telephone	February Answering Service	92.40
Answering Service		
Robbins Telephone	March Answering Service	92.40
Answering Service		
S.A.S., Inc.	Pump Bowl for Lift Station	2,914.30
Scotty's Inc.	Small Tools	3.27
Sears, Roebuck & Co.	Small Tools	415.57
Smith, Barbara	Reimbursement for Supplies	19.72
Sunshine Ace Hardware	Small Tools & Janitorial Supplies	297.54
Taylor Rental	Rent Equipment	60.12
Telephone Support Systems	Telephone Service Agreement to 2/7/90	170.55
The Office	Freight Charges	18.78
Tri-W Rental	Rent Equipment	11.20
United Telephone	January Telephone	368.97
U.S. Postmaster	Utility Bill Postage	120.00
Yahl Brothers	Utility Site Dumpster	399.00
Watson Engineering	Boat Clarifier Engineering Services & Report	4,136.64
Wearguard, Inc.	Employee Uniforms	64.46
Weavers Office Supply	January & February Office Supplies	265.22
Westinghouse Communities of Naples, Inc.	January Fuel & Copying Charges	39.29

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
WATER MANAGEMENT INVOICES
FEBRUARY 1989

<u>PAYEE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Asgrow Florida Co.	Lake Maintenance Chemicals	\$7,416.95
BancFlorida	Meeting Room Rental - January and February	100.00
Budco Temporaries, Inc.	Temporary Day Labor	899.25
Computerland of Naples	Diskettes	28.00
Coral Springs Improvement District	Nov., Dec., & Jan. Office Services, Lake Sampling & Feb. Dental Insurance	2,349.91
Doyles Carpet Steam Extraction	Clean Carpet & Upholstery	271.00
Envirotech Operating Services	February Lake Sampling	128.20
File One	February Office Supplies	56.67
Florida Municipal Health Trust	January Health Insurance	542.96
Florida Municipal Health Trust	February Health Insurance	542.96
Florida Power & Light	January & February Electric	36.00
Gulf Shore Associates	March Rent & Maintenance	842.97
Jacaranda Landscape	January R-O-W Maintenance	16,476.37
Manatron, Inc.	1988 Tax Forms	31.31
Naples Daily News	Legal Advertising	42.04
PBID W/S Account	R-O-W Maintenance 01/15/88-02/15/89	3,117.13
Quarles & Brady	December Legal Services	2,692.10
Quarles & Brady	January Legal Services	4,224.40
RMRS System	Postage	100.00
Robbins Telephone Answering Service	February Answering Service	92.40
Robbins Telephone Answering Service	March Answering Service	92.40
Smith, Barbara	Reimbursement for Supplies	19.72
Telephone Support Systems	Telephone Service Agreement to 2/7/90	170.56
United Telephone	January Telephone	269.87
Van Water & Rogers	Hand Seeder	30.00
Wearguard, Inc.	Employee Uniforms	64.47
Weavers Office Supply	January Office Supplies	265.22
Westinghouse Communities of Naples, Inc.	January Fuel & Copying	39.28

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
STREET LIGHT INVOICES
FEBRUARY 1989

<u>PAYEE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Florida Power & Light	January Electric	\$ 857.09
Florida Power & Light	February Electric	857.09
Graybar Electric	Street Light Ballasts	597.27
Lehigh Testing Laboratories	Test Street Light Poles	216.00
Quarles & Brady	December Legal Services	165.20
Speedy Blueprinting	Level Tripod Rod	749.00

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
WATER AND WASTEWATER OPERATING SUMMARY
FEBRUARY 1989

	FISCAL YEAR 1989 BUDGET	MONTH TO DATE			YEAR TO DATE		
		BUDGET	ACTUAL	VARIANCE FAVORABLE (UNFAVORABLE)	BUDGET	ACTUAL	VARIANCE FAVORABLE (UNFAVORABLE)
<u>CHARGES FOR SERVICES</u>							
Connection Fees	\$ 664,180	62,210	227,190	164,980	344,860	391,810	46,950
Meter Use Fees	22,250	6,750	750	(6,000)	9,250	9,450	200
<u>USER REVENUE</u>							
Water	616,818	52,090	52,736	646	250,494	255,168	4,674
Sewer	481,271	40,133	40,143	10	199,236	193,554	(5,682)
Irrigation	620,010	49,543	54,992	5,449	252,264	279,521	27,257
<u>TOTAL REVENUE</u>	<u>\$2,404,529</u>	<u>210,726</u>	<u>375,811</u>	<u>165,085</u>	<u>1,056,104</u>	<u>1,129,503</u>	<u>73,399</u>
<u>PROFESSIONAL FEES</u>							
Engineering	\$ 25,000	4,137	4,137	0	7,419	7,419	0
Legal	22,000	10,180	10,180	0	14,617	14,617	0
Audit	8,800	0	0	0	7,540	7,540	0
Trust	6,050	680	680	0	680	680	0
Financial Advisor	7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>SYSTEM OPERATING EXPENSES</u>							
Office	32,408	2,221	2,663	(442)	11,105	12,948	(1,843)
Billing	2,640	220	120	100	1,100	1,676	(576)
Insurance	63,439	10,000	12,192	(2,192)	63,439	66,536	(3,097)
Payroll	162,764	12,520	12,996	(476)	62,600	54,022	8,578
Transportation	8,667	722	130	592	3,610	828	2,782
Water Quality	16,950	1,095	112	983	9,277	5,560	3,717
Repairs & Maintenance	66,110	5,509	14,151	(8,642)	27,545	40,421	(12,876)
Electric	169,000	13,194	16,380	(3,186)	63,419	87,728	(24,309)
Chemicals	55,488	4,278	3,174	1,104	20,727	21,242	(515)
City of Naples Water	211,039	18,407	21,355	(2,948)	81,359	81,543	(184)
Meters	5,920	493	(653)	1,146	2,465	4,678	(2,213)
Contingencies	20,000	2,250	0	2,250	14,250	7,290	6,960
<u>TOTAL EXPENSES</u>	<u>\$ 883,274</u>	<u>85,906</u>	<u>97,617</u>	<u>(11,711)</u>	<u>391,152</u>	<u>414,728</u>	<u>(23,576)</u>

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
WATER MANAGEMENT OPERATING SUMMARY
FEBRUARY 1989

	<u>MONTH TO DATE</u>				<u>YEAR TO DATE</u>		FAVORABLE (UNFAVORABLE) VARIANCE
	FISCAL YEAR 1989 BUDGET	BUDGET	ACTUAL	FAVORABLE (UNFAVORABLE) VARIANCE	BUDGET	ACTUAL	
REVENUE							
Maintenance Taxes	\$ 525,341	2,466	2,466	0	474,319	474,319	0
TOTAL REVENUE	<u>\$ 525,341</u>	<u>2,466</u>	<u>2,466</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>474,319</u>	<u>474,319</u>	<u>0</u>
MEETING EXPENSE							
Supervisors Fees	\$ 6,000	500	500	0	\$ 2,500	2,500	0
Legal Notification	800	67	42	25	335	665	(330)
PROFESSIONAL FEES							
Engineering	15,000	0	0	0	825	825	0
Legal	15,000	6,917	6,917	0	10,254	10,254	0
Audit	8,500	0	0	0	7,208	7,208	0
Trust	1,500	1,500	1,652	(152)	1,500	1,652	(152)
Financial Adviser	7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
SYSTEM OPERATING EXPENSE							
Office	32,408	2,222	2,408	(186)	11,109	12,051	(942)
Payroll	159,415	12,263	12,387	(124)	61,315	53,547	7,768
Transportation	4,334	361	33	328	1,805	740	1,065
Fish Restocking Program	4,504	0	0	0	3,074	3,074	0
Lake Maintenance	32,147	2,679	7,326	(4,647)	13,395	19,456	(6,061)
Swale Maintenance	4,000	333	121	212	1,665	282	1,383
Water Quality	11,880	990	2,298	(1,308)	4,950	4,820	130
Insurance	16,325	0	0	0	16,325	16,685	(360)
Rights-Of-Way	269,110	17,019	16,476	543	96,510	91,327	5,183
Replanting Program	20,000	0	0	0	16,325	16,325	0
Water Connection	13,190	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water Use	23,259	1,938	3,153	(1,215)	9,690	14,035	(4,345)
Renewal & Replacement	6,000	500	0	500	2,500	0	2,500
Contingencies	12,000	1,000	0	1,000	5,000	0	5,000
TOTAL EXPENSES	<u>\$ 662,372</u>	<u>48,289</u>	<u>53,313</u>	<u>(5,024)</u>	<u>266,285</u>	<u>255,446</u>	<u>10,839</u>

PELICAN BAY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
MARCH 15, 1989 MINUTES
TAPE NUMBERS & CORRESPONDING AGENDA ITEMS

<u>AGENDA ITEM</u>	<u>NUMBER ON TAPE</u>
1. Roll Call.	0 - 2 (Tape 1, Side A)
2. Consideration of a Supplemental Resolution for the 1989 Refunded Bond Issue.	2 - 20
3. Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held February 3, 1989; February 15, 1989 and February 24, 1989.	20 - 99
4. Consideration of Resultion Amending Certain Provisions of the Conservation Advisory Committee Membership and Rules.	99 - 234
5. Consideration of Resolution Establishing Rules for the Purpose of Calling an Emergency Meeting of the Board of Supervisors.	234 - 255
6. Presentation of Treated Effluent Disposal Study Program by Wilson, Miller, Barton, Soll & Peek.	255 - 751 (End Tape 1, Side A) 0 - 414 (Tape 1, Side B)
7. Consideration of a Grant of Easement from Southern Gulf Properties, to Accommodate the Installation of the Potable and Irrigation Meter Vaults for Contessa.	414 - 751 (End Tape 1, Side B) 0 - 11 (Tape 2, Side A)
8. Attorney's Report.	11 - 115
9. Engineer's Report.	115 - 357
10. Manager's Report.	357 - 411
11. Certificates of Payment.	411 - 470
12. Confirmation of Invoices and Requisitions.	470 - 510 (End Tape 2, Side A) 0 - 94 (Tape 2, Side B)
13. Supervisor's Requests.	94 - 510 (End Tape 2, Side B) 0 - 46 (Tape 3, Side A)
14. Adjournment.	46 - 48